K. L. F. Oten, L. N. Walker-Lane, R. M. Jetton, N. Kaur, B. Smith, J. Frampton, A. C. Cohen and F. P. Hain



Saving Threatened Forests



History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

• Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

#### History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

• Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

•Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

### Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, Adelges tsugae

**Exotic pest from Japan** Threatens eastern & Carolina hemlock populations Range now includes 18 eastern states • Kills tree in as little as 4 years



### Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, Adelges tsugae

80-90% mortality
Native range: Asia, Pacific NW
Variation in host resistance







History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions (including that at NCSU)

• Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

• Future Needs

Partnership for Saving Threatened Forests

### Hemlock Resistance

Species	Range	HWA resistance
Eastern hemlock <i>T. canadensis</i>	Eastern U.S.	<del>No resistance</del> Variable
Carolina hemlock <i>T. caroliniana</i>	Southern Appalachians	<del>No resistance</del> Variable
Chinese hemlock <i>T. chinensis</i>	China	Very resistant
T. diversifolia	Japan	Resistant
T. dumosa	Himalayas	Moderate
T. forrestii	China	Moderate
T. heterophylla	Northwestern U.S.	Moderate
T. mertensiana	Northwestern U.S.	Moderate
T. sieboldii	Japan	Moderate

• History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

•Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

## **Foliar Chemistry Summary**

- High N & K may increase palatibility (Pontius et al. 2006)
- Foliar terpenoids related to alleged resistance; grouped EH & CH in one group & resistant hemlock in another (Lagalante & Montgomery 2003)
- High levels of isobornyl acetate found in EH & CH (despite phylogenetic relationships) (Lagalante et al. 2007)

## **Foliar Chemistry Summary**

- Presence of α-hexacosanol in epicuticular wax may stimulate HWA feeding (Kaur 2008)
- High levels of α-humulene in resistant species; more abundant in needle cushion, especially during periods of feeding (Lagalante et al. 2007)

History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

• Foliar Chemistry

- Host/Water Relations
- Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)
Screening Studies
Genetics and Breeding

•Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

## Walker-Lane (2009)

In this study, water relations within eastern and Carolina hemlock were evaluated to determine if infestation by HWA was causing water-stress.



### **Wood Anatomy**



Clockwise from the top left: healthy hemlock cross-section, poor health hemlock cross-section (arrow A displays false-ring structure, arrow B displays increased longitudinal parenchyma), poor health hemlock tangential section, healthy hemlock tangential section.

## Wood Anatomy (4x)



From left to right: healthy hemlock cross-section, poor health hemlock crosssection (A indicates false-ring structures).

## Wood Anatomy (10x)

A

1µ

From left to right: healthy hemlock tangential section, poor health hemlock tangential section (arrows indicate abnormal wood).

**1**μ

Wood anatomy of the branches provided evidence that infested hemlocks are experiencing abnormal wood production in the xylem. Confirmed by:

 Pre-dawn branch water potential measurements were more negative in infested hemlock than in non-infested trees

 Carbon isotope ratios of the branches were more positive for infested trees

•Means of stomatal conductance was lower in infested trees).

History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

• Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

•Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

### **Critical Factors in HWA Feeding**

- Ability to penetrate host tissue with a very delicate stylet
- Ability to find a suitable feeding site within the host tissue for development and reproduction

### **Purpose of Using SEM for HWA**

Determine feeding sites & behavior
Analyze & compare host surfaces
Examine sheath material

Smaller than adult No wax pores Prominent antennae- sensory function Stylet bundle not extended



100u



### HWA Adult



## Stylet tip





# HWA Settled on needle

Abscission layer

-





### LABIUM

**Stylet bundle & labium:**  Insert into base of hemlock needle 3 times as long as crawler Feed on xylem ray parenchyma cells •2 mandibular & 2 maxillary stylets Stylets have a serrated tip Surrounded by sheath •Short sensillae on labiumused in host acceptance?

10u

1200X 20 kV 0006

### **HOW DOES HWA SELECT ITS FEEDING SITE?**

Sequence of steps in host selection<sup>1</sup>:

1) Habitat location

2) Host location

3) Host acceptance

### 4) Host use

<sup>1</sup> Bernays, E. A. and R. F. Chapman. 1994. Host-plant selection by phytophagous insects. New York: Chapman & Hall. 312 pp.



### **HOW DOES HWA SELECT ITS FEEDING SITE?**

### Sequence of steps in host selection<sup>1</sup>:

1) Habitat location

2) Host location

3) Host acceptance

### 4) Host use

<sup>1</sup> Bernays, E. A. and R. F. Chapman. 1994. Host-plant selection by phytophagous insects. New York: Chapman & Hall. 312 pp.



#### T. canadensis



ECHU-4780 5.0 KV EM Mag 80X 1-541: 2 Overview

ECPLI-4700 5.0 KV EM Neg 60X 200µm

500µm



#### T. caroliniana

T. chinensis x caroliniana

D KV ERI Nag 60X Sec 2 Overview

CPU-4793 5.0 KV ERI Neg BOX 1-Sec 3 Overview

C

200µm



### *T. sieboldii* (2.5 μm)

ECHU-4700 5.0 KV EM Mag 3000X 1-Sec 2 Junction Needle

10µm

#### *T. caroliniana* (1-1.5 μm)



#### *T. chinensis x caroliniana* (3 μm)

Page 3000K
 Junction Needle 1

## **Epicuticular Wax Extraction**

- 50 samples:
  7 hemlock species + 1 hybrid
  3 g hemlock material/sample
  Yields 2 -15 mg wax
  Dipped in 50 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>
- Analyzed with GC/MS





4.00 6.00 8.00 10.00 12.00 14.00 16.00 18.00 20.00 22.00 24.00 26.00 28.00 30.00 32.00 34.00 36.00 38.00 40.00 42.00 44.00 46.00

### Protease Activity of Hemlock Woolly Adelgid Whole-body Homogenate



## Conclusions

- Trichomes likely do not deter feeding;
- Wax/cuticle thickness should be investigated further;
- Chemical components may be involved in resistance between hemlock species;
- Protease indicates digestion of proteins; do resistant hemlocks inhibit the activity of this and/or other enzymes?



History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

• Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

•Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

### Are some eastern hemlocks resistant to HWA?



University of Rhode Island Team: Todd Caswell Richard Casagrande Laura Ingwell Brian Maynard Evan Preisser Laura Radville Sara Gomez

## Preisser et al. (2008) sampled 142 eastern hemlock stands in 2005



## "Putatively resistant hemlock"



- In FOREST stands with ≥95% mortality
- Mature (>10 m) trees
- Appeared relatively healthy
- Largely free of HWA and elongate hemlock scale

#### **INNATE OR ENVIRONMENTAL?**

### **Evaluating hemlock resistance**



- 1. Propagate cuttings
- 2. Grow under identical conditions
- 3. Infest with HWA under controlled conditions

## CT sample sites



### January 2006 cuttings



## **Rooted cutting in June**



## HWA infested



## Infested plant



### 2006 HWA results



### Mark Mayer's NJ "bulletproof stand"



### 2007 sample sites 100 cuttings each from

- 6 CT Trees
- 5 NJ Trees (Mark Mayer)
- 2 PA Trees (Scott Stitzer)
- 1 MD Tree (Dave Mausel)
- 4 Control Sites (MA, NY)
  18 Trees (1800 cuttings)

2007 rooted cuttings held for testing in spring 2009

- Allow additional time to recover from rooting and outgrow field environmental effects
- Provide 12 months of growth under standardized conditions
- Improve timing of plant growth and HWA inoculation

### HWA infested tests – 2009

- Infest all rooted cuttings with HWA in early spring
- 3 groups: control, resistant, and cuttings from western hemlocks
- Assessed HWA settlement and survival

### 2009 HWA infestation results



### **2010 HWA infestation results**



## Jetton et al. (2008)

- Efforts to develop a bioassay screening protocol
- Infested 3 species with HWA (low & high)
- Quantified infestation rates & fecundity

Level	ofin	festat	tion

Hemlock species	HWA/cm of seedling height	
Eastern hemlock	3.98 <u>+</u> 0.41 a	
Carolina hemlock	0.88 <u>+</u> 0.41 b	
Western hemlock	0.23 <u>+</u> 0.41 b	

### **Current Research at LRN**

- Linville River Nursery
- Randomized block
- 3 different species
  - Eastern hemlock
  - Carolina hemlock
  - Western hemlock
- 3 different treatments
  - Artificially infested (2 reps)
  - Natural infestation (2 reps)
  - Chemically treated w/ Talstar (1 rep)





### **Current Research at LRN**



### **Infestation Rates**

			1 Li
Hemlock species	Infested	Uninfested	Infestation
Eastern hemlock	31	2	93.94%
Carolina hemlock	6	21	22.22%
Western hemlock	4	29	12.12%

Hemlock species	Infested	Uninfested	Infestation
Eastern hemlock	13	11	54.2%
Carolina hemlock	4	20	16.7%
Western hemlock	1	13	7.1%

History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

• Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

• Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

### **Breeding Programs**

U.S. National Arboretum
Hybridizing Asian & eastern U.S. hemlocks
EH crosses unsuccessful
CH successfully crossed with *T. chinensis*Future work: Field trials

 Montgomery et al. (2009)
 Infested: T. canadensis, T. caroliniana, T. chinensis, T. dumosa, T. sieboldii, chinensis x caroliniana, chinensis x sieboldii



Live HWA Settled HWA

History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

• Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

• Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

### IMPLICATIONS FOR HOST RESISTANCE

Selection of resistant individuals in native populations

• Screening for resistance within a breeding program

T. chinensis x caroliniana



Ē

Courtesy of: The American Chestnut Foundation

History and Biology of HWA

Importance of Understanding Host/Insect Interactions

Review of Work on Host/Insect Interactions

• Foliar Chemistry

Host/Water Relations

Feeding Behavior

Observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Screening Studies

Genetics and Breeding

•Future Needs

Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests

### Alliance for Saving Threatened Forests (ASTF)

US Forest Service
NC State University
CAMCORE
CSREES Southern Region IPM Center University of Rhode Island
University of Vermont
University of Kentucky

http://www.threatenedforests.org/index.cfm)

#### **ASTF Goals:**

breeding for resistance, and
understanding the biological basis for resistance

Modeled after the American Chestnut Foundation

### What we know:

Level of resistance in our native firs and hemlocks is low

 There are isolated cases where trees have survived for reasons that are not yet fully understood

### What we need to do:

•Extensive testing of surviving trees, and trees from other parts of the world that are known to contain levels of resistance, needs to be conducted

• These trees need to be bred to one another and the offspring tested with challenges from the adelgid.

 Understand the biological basis for resistance for the targeted introduction of resistance genes into native trees.

### First Step: Common Gardens

Two in North Carolina
One in Rhode Island
Two in Kentucky



# **Ultimately:**

•A breeding and selection approach will be employed to develop resistant planting stock and accelerate natural selection in restoring fir and hemlock

 A series of regional clonal seed orchards grafted from trees with verified resistance will be established to provide locally adapted resistant seed If you wish to know more about

### The Partnership for Saving Threatened Forests

go to our web site at:

http://www.threatenedforests.com/index.cfm

# Acknowledgments

#### Funding:

USFS - Northern & Southern Research Stations Forest Health Protection Region 8 Golden Leaf Foundation of North Carolina

#### **Contributors:**

NCSU Forest Entomology Lab Valerie Knowlton, John MacKenzie (SEM lab) Gary Bauchan (cryo-SEM; USDA, Beltsville, MD)

#### **Hemlock samples:**

NC State Parks Morton Arboretum, Lisle, IL National Arboretum, Beltsville, MD Glenn Kohler (Washington state)

### **Questions**??

